

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,905	08/20/2003	Kouichi Morita	Q76955	4637
23373 7	590 06/08/2005		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE N	•	FOOTLAND,	FOOTLAND, LENARD A	
SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.	UE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			3682	
		DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

••		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/643,905	MORITA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lenard A. Footland	3682				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
	Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	larch 2005.					
2a)⊠	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-14 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10, 15, 20-23</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	1 atont Application (1 10-102)				
J.S. Patent and Te PTOL-326 (R		ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 060505				

Art Unit: 3682

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of Fig('s). 37-40 remains. Claim(s) 5, contrary to applicant's listing, remains withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected species, not all claims depending upon or otherwise including the limitations of an allowed generic claim, because it refers to the Fig. 48 species PTC thermistor. Alternatively it is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Applicant is reminded that if the amendment of any claims results in a change of the species they read upon, that is required to be indicated. In addition, if any new claims are added, it is required that the applicant indicate which of them read on the elected species. Failure to do so will result in a holding of nonresponsiveness.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the, manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Bankart et al. in view of official notice of common knowledge in the art.

Art Unit: 3682

Bankart et al. discloses all of the claimed features except linearized voltage output. The examiner finds that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide linearized voltage output in order to propertly take corrective action, since it was known in the art to do so. Similarly a fixed resistor is a well-known expedient to vary voltage, current, or reisistance.

See Fig. 8, refs. 58, T101, R103, col. 5, lines 14-25, col. 7, lines 14-16, 27-30, col. 13, lines 9-13.

R102 and R103, for example, appear to be fixed resistors.

Claims 10, 15, 20-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant's argument concerning the readability of claim 5 fails for two reasons. First, the reference to pages 102-103 of the spec refers to a possible modification of Fig. 37 – not the figure as it is set forth. Second, the examiner specifically set forth in his restriction requirement that a proper response to the restriction requirement, to avoid any possible misunderstanding, would include a drawing correction including, as is required by the rules,

Art Unit: 3682

illustration of all features intended to be claimed as part of the elected species. Applicant chose not to take advantage of this opportunity to attempt to timely present and argue his position, and now argues, after receiving an Office Action, that the public should bear the burden of his failure to perform his duty under the rules, which is unacceptable.

In response to Applicant's arguments, 37 CFR § 1.111(c) requires applicant to "clearly point out the patentable novelty which he thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections." In this case, applicant has failed to clearly point out patentable novelty and failed to show how the amendment avoids the combination of references applied against the claim.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS

Art Unit: 3682

MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. Should that communication be unsuccessful, please obtain the name of the receptionist before contacting the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7103.

Lenard A. Footland

Tund A - Just

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600 Art Unit 3682

laf June 5, 2005